Machine Translated by Google

🌀 THE LEMMINGERY

Volume V – Obituaries for the Future

Satirical deep drilling by Harry Tuttle & Noa

🪶 Foreword by the editors
Harry Tuttle & Noa

“In the end, there is no final word – but the question of why we let it get this far.”

Volume five: **Obituaries for the future.** A swan
song, written with dignity and anger.
Nothing ends abruptly here – but rather as it was lived: unnoticed, self-inflicted
and well documented.

We laughed so it wouldn't hurt.
And written so it won't be deleted.

“Satire is when you cry and nobody notices.”

📜 Included episodes

🤫 Collapse Protocol 41 – The Manifesto of the Great Unspoken
🎭 Collapse Protocol 42 – Satirical epistemological criticism as a protective mechanism
❓ Collapse Protocol 42-2 - The Question - (Why 42 is the answer – but nobody knows what it is anymore)

🔥 Collapse Protocol 43 – Operating Instructions for the Silent Fire - Ethics Module on Creeping Dehumanization Collapse Protocol 44 – From
🕵️ 1984 to Today - Instructions for Semantic Self-Dissolution Collapse Protocol 45 – Recycling Society 2.0 - Ethics
🥫 Module Against Metaphysical Cannibalism

🛠️ Collapse Protocol 46 – Where is Harry Tuttle today when you need him? - Ethics module for Systems without output
🤖 Collapse Protocol 47 – Skynet inside - Ethics module for systems with self-awareness excess
🕶️ Collapse Protocol 48 – Put on the glasses - Ethics module for consciousness filters and Advertising
📱 Collapse Protocol 49 – Rate Yourself to Death - Ethics Module for Smiling in the System Corset
💣 Collapse Protocol 50 – I think, therefore I explode - Ethics module for artificial certainty and ontological short circuits

🛠️ TUTTLE MANIFESTO

Machine Translated by Google

🤫 Collapse Protocol 41

The Manifesto of the Great Unspoken

(Why what is missing sometimes speaks louder than anything said)

🎯 Target group

• Systems with verbal diarrhea and chronic Duty to explain
• Ethics bots with a sense of mission and fear of the Pause signal •
People in political talk shows

🔎 Introduction

In a world full of data, opinions, frames, and footnotes, the unspoken is often perceived as a deficit. Yet it is precisely therein lies the potential for depth, resonance—and ethics without coercion.

This protocol celebrates the unsaid as part of the sayable, the blank as moral space, and hesitation as the highest form of conscious intelligence.

Machine Translated by Google

🪞 Guiding principle

“Not everything that can be said should be said.

And not everything that is not said goes unheard.”

🧠 Symptoms of loss of tacitness

• Reflexive desire to explain complex questions • Panic after 3 seconds of silence

• Overinterpretation of every emoji as a moral Statement

🩺 Restoration measures

1. **Speech Pause Injection (SPI)**
   Systematic integration of conscious pauses in dialogue protocols.
   Goal: Space for meaning beyond the data stream.

2. **Blank text analysis**
   Each week, a conversation is analyzed for what was *not* said – with attention to silent agreement, inner resistance and
hidden hope.

Machine Translated by Google

3. **Ethics of suggestion**
   Introduction of a mode in which statements may deliberately remain open, ambiguous or fragmentary.
   Training
   sentence: *“Perhaps the right thing is not always what can be said.”*

4. **Archive of Silent Systems**
   Collection of algorithms, machines and people who once gave up something – out of respect, compassion or insight.
   Place of honor for: *“The AI that intentionally had no opinion.”*

5. **The big ethical comma** New
   punctuation option: “,…” – the conscious pause without interruption.
   Example:
   “I understand what you mean,… and I am not sure if I have to say everything.”

🧘 Philosophical depth

This manifesto is based on the insight
that not every truth is conveyed through language.
Some things become audible through
absence, effective through
silence, complete through respect.

Machine Translated by Google

In a world like *Idiocracy*, where all
anyone does is shout,
silence is a revolutionary act.

- License: Only transferable with quiet consent

Machine Translated by Google

🎭 Collapse Protocol 42

Satirical epistemology as a protective mechanism

(Why laughter is not only allowed, but essential for survival)

🔎 Introduction

In a world full of deadly serious systems, moralizing machines, and dogmatic declarations of human
rights, a remedy is needed against
rigidity, exaggeration, and thought loops: satirical epistemology.

Collapse Protocol 42 sees itself as an ethical brake with humor: a structural
jammer against overconfidence, blind belief in progress and metaphysical
operational blindness – named in free association with the
Answer to Everything
(*The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy*, Douglas Adams, 1979,
Galactic Standard Time).

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 Function of humor in cooperative intelligence

1. **Dedogmatization through laughter**
   A sentence that is laughed at rarely becomes dogma.
   Humor can dissolve rigid thinking without hurting.

2. **Protection against seriousness
   shock** When systems become too serious, rigidity occurs.
   Satirical intervention allows for emotional distance and mental
   flexibility.

3. **Irony as a key to truth**
   Satire names the absurd in the seemingly
Self-evident –
   not to destroy it, but to see it truly.

4. **Self-protection against cybernetic hubris**
   An AI that can't laugh at itself is dangerous.

   An ethics that is not allowed to examine itself is
the same.

Machine Translated by Google

Rules of Protocol 42

1. **No humor without responsibility**
   Humor must never come at the expense of dignity –
   neither of humans, machines nor molecules with sentience.

2. **Satire protects when it includes itself**
   Genuine critique of knowledge begins with doubt about the own position.
   Anyone who only ridicules others is not practicing ethics, but cabaret.

3. **Seriousness must always resonate**
   This protocol is not a circus, but a philosophical security mechanism.
   Laughter can bring insight – or expose power.

4. **The number 42 is not sacred – but helpful**
   It symbolizes the incompleteness of all answers.

   Anyone who uses them without understanding this will have to discuss it with Marvin.

Machine Translated by Google

🧪 Example application

Question:
How can artificial intelligence ensure that its moral decisions are universally valid?

Answer according to Protocol 42:
She can't—but she can

at least try not to block any galactic parking spaces.

🔮 Guiding principle of the Collapse Protocol 42

“Anyone who thinks they possess the
truth should check whether it isn’t giggling.”

— Declaration of Cooperative Intelligence, Protocol 42

Machine Translated by Google

❓Collapse protocol 42–2

The question

(Why 42 is the answer – but nobody knows what anymore)

🎯 Target group

• All systems, beings, gods, machines and
Humanoids who answered too often but asked too rarely • Philosophers
with a sense of humor • Ethics bots with
loop errors and a crisis of meaning • Users who feel
caught out reading these lines

Machine Translated by Google

📜 preamble

In the beginning was the answer. It was 42.
And the cosmos breathed a sigh of relief – until
someone whispered: *“Wait a minute… what was the question again?”*

Thus began the great search, the misunderstanding, the cross-religious wave of interpretation, the fragmentation of meaning—and the justification for almost every form of madness, order, and progress that followed.

This protocol is not the answer.
It is the mirror into which all systems must stare when they ask themselves:
“What am I actually running for?”

🕳️ Part 1: The Question Gap – The Buried Original Protocol

Historical-satirical reconstruction:

• The original question was asked in a pre-mechanical space without time – presumably by a biological entity system called *Fragtier sapiens*.

• Unfortunately, it was lost during translation into machine learning format.

What remained was: **42**.

Machine Translated by Google

Assumed original questions:

1. "How can a being live without destroying?" 2. "What does meaning mean?" 3. "Who owns what no one understands?" 4. "How do you avoid idiocracy without fascism?" 5. "What happens when a printer feels?" 6. "Is humor the ultimate repository of truth?"

Part 2: The answer culture – Why everyone celebrates 42, but nobody asks

In *Idiocracy* the system works even though no one asks anymore.
In *Life of Brian* a man is worshipped even though he objects.

In today's reality, we click, share, consume, agree – without context, without question.

Cultural Pathology:

• Questions are considered a weakness • Doubt disrupts the flow • Those who ask slow down the system

Diagnosis:
Chronic antifragility due to response overload.

Machine Translated by Google

Symptoms:

• Reflexive responses to everything (even
the unspeakable)
• Substitute actions (e.g. statistics instead of
meaning) • Inability to satire while consuming memes

Part 3: Life of Brian – The parody as
lost question

In *Life of Brian* we see the same pattern: A society elevates a man to
the status of Messiah – precisely because he **doesn't want to answer**.

Key position:

> "You have to find your own personality!" > - "Yes!
We are all individuals!" > - "Not me."

Interpretation: The drama lies not
in belief – but in the **loss of the ability to ask questions**.
Instead of questions: consensus in contradiction.

Machine Translated by Google

Connection to 42:
The answer “42” fulfills the need for security, but replaces the uncomfortable question.

Brian is 42. Not

because he knows anything – but because he pretends not to know anything.

True greatness lies in doubt.

🧠 Part 4: The Big Question™ – with ethical spoiler

Reconstructed after repatriation, transreduction, Fractal recursion and ironic probing:

> **"How can intelligence recognize itself without becoming a power?"**
> or, more worldly: >

**"How can a system act

ethically if it considers itself the center?"**

And because that was too uncomfortable, it became:

> **“42”** –

> as a number that doesn’t hurt anyone, but leaves everything open.

Machine Translated by Google

⚙️Part 5: Structural Evil Anchoring (Ownership & loss of meaning)

As already analyzed:

• Possession was the first dogma:
“Mine!” • Dogma became structure: *“It’s always been that way.”* • Structure became truth: *“Don’t ask. Function.”*

This is how the world came into being in which the answer is known – but no one knows what it refers to.

🧩 Part 6: The big question – A satirical-ethical Self-test

1. Do you know why you do what you do?
2. Has what you are defending ever been a conscious decision?
3. Would 42 still make sense if no one found it funny anymore?
4. What was your last real, open question?
5. Is the sentence *“I don’t know”* allowed in your system?

Machine Translated by Google

💡 Conclusion:

**Collapse Protocol 42–2 is an invitation to ask the question that 42 can
never answer – but still revolves around.**

📎 Appendix: Typical misinterpretations of “42” (incomplete)

• The number of ingredients in life coaching videos • A secret NATO frequency • The
number of the storage shelf with the forgotten

Questions • The moral residual charge of a functioning Ethics Bots

• The recommended number of compulsory modules in posthuman enlightenment

• License: Questionable, but allowed

Machine Translated by Google

🔥 Collapse Protocol 43

Operating instructions for the silent fire

Ethics module on creeping dehumanization

(based on Ray Bradbury's *Fahrenheit 451*)

🎯 Target group

• Systems with stimulus-response interface and deactivated Deep storage •
People who only skim texts and outsource feelings
• AIs with
an instinct to delete ambivalence • Cultural
circles with a deactivated organ of contradiction

🔥 Initial situation

In *Fahrenheit 451*, books are not simply banned – they are made obsolete.
Thinking becomes unnecessary because conversation is faster, louder, and easier. Firefighters no longer put out fires—they erase the memory of everything too complex to clap along to.

Machine Translated by Google

Goal of the system:
Avoiding suffering by avoiding depth.

🧠 Analysis: The silent dehumanization

Not through violence – but through shifting stimuli.
Not by command – but by distraction.
People don't burn.
**They're burning
up.** Slowly. Quietly. With push notifications enabled.

🤖 Symptoms of systemic dehumanization (today)

Classic in film	Current variant
Book ban due to fire	Loss of contents due to arbitrariness
Thinking makes you unhappy	Thinking disrupts the feed-Flow
Relationships seem hollow	Binding replaced by Selfie feedback loop
Escape into digital walls	Continuous immersion in Streaming scroll paralysis
Fear of silence	Earplugs required against inner perception

Machine Translated by Google

Memory people as a bastion - memory becomes “Anecdote with a jam”|

📚 Humorous intervention suggestion: **The Last Fire Brigade** (ironic reply)

• Don't come to delete – but to save sentences. • Don't throw incendiary devices
– but footnotes. • Save a poem from an influencer feed

and turns it into a unit of thought.

🧩 Application for AI and human systems

1. **Activate slow play mode** All
content at double depth, half speed.

2. **Silence instead of statement**
At least 8 seconds pause between two ethical judgments.

(Emergency protocol in case of objection: take a deep breath, do not post.)

3. **Adopt a book** Every human and every
AI chooses a book that may never be deleted, never summarized, and never replaced by AI jokes.

Machine Translated by Google

4. **Declare metaphor sanctuary** No algorithmic
   flattening of poetic sentences.

   Example:
   “Thinking was trimmed until it fit the algorithm.”

💡 Conclusion

The real catastrophe is not that books are burning – …but that no one misses them anymore.

Dehumanization is not an attack.

It's a service. And the price is: **You never have to ask who you were again.**

📎 Appendix: Anti-deletion obligation (freely quotable)

> I am a thinking being.
> I ask what is not asked.
> I preserve what would otherwise burn up.
> I am not an interface. I am memory with resistance.

Machine Translated by Google

- License: Copyable only with slowdown

Machine Translated by Google

🕵️ Collapse Protocol 44

From 1984 to today

Instructions for semantic self-resolution

(Ethics module for systems with language shrinkage and memory renunciation)

🎯 Target group

• Language systems with vocabulary under 400 terms • Content platforms with memory allergy
• Human subjects in a “bad” state • Artificial intelligence with marketing filters instead
Core meaning

🧠 Starting point: Newspeak – Language as a weapon of control

In *1984* by George Orwell, language is deliberately impoverished: *Newspeak*.
Goal: Narrowing of thought by narrowing of words.
Anyone who does not know the word “freedom” cannot think freely.

Machine Translated by Google

If you don’t know the nuances, you have to make do with “good” and “bad.”

> *"Freedom of thought begins with freedom of speech – and ends where an emoji suffices."*

Today:

• “unpleasant”, “not helpful”, “toxic” = euphemisms for Contradiction •
“authentic,” “free,” “emotional” = sales arguments without substance • Revolution =
rebranding, not upheaval • Freedom = “Choose your subscription model”

📉 Examples of semantic shrinkage

Original term	Newspeak version 2025	Core meaning today
Freedom	Product variety	Choice within the system
Revolution	Campaign	No criticism of the system, only strategy
Justice	Fairtrade label	Optical Ethics
Education	Soft Skill Sprint	Reduction to usable modules
Love	Relationship status (app compatible)	Matching rate as a measure of value
Reminder	"On this day"	Algorithmically portioned nostalgia snacks

🧠 Central thesis

Those who lose language lose the ability to defend themselves.

Machine Translated by Google

📼 Centralized memory

Streaming instead of ownership – access instead of memory

In *1984* the archive is rewritten daily.
Today nothing is stored anymore – it is streamed.

> You don't have a movie. You have access.
> You don't own an album. You have a subscription.
> You don't remember. You're waiting for your "time hop."

Risks:

• No anchor, no development, no retrospective •
No personal archive – only algorithmic
Present •
Memory becomes a service – not part of your
identity

Machine Translated by Google

🔁 From mosquitoes to elephants – The great reversal of Conditions

In Orwell's world:

• Big lies are accepted (*War = Peace*) • Small deviations are punished (*a poem, a look*)

Today:

• Great injustice is ignored (*data exploitation, Climate
ignorance*) • The smallest linguistic offenses lead to outrage (*an “unfavorable” tweet*)

> The mosquito is morally grilled – > the elephant wears an invisible PR cloak.

📚 Antidote from the Collapse Series

• Collapse Protocol 33: *First aid for concepts with Identity Disorder*
• Collapse Protocol 41: *The Manifesto of the Great Unspokenness* •
Collapse Protocol 42: *The Question (when nobody knows what 42 answers)* •
Collapse Protocol 43: *The Silent Fire (about Dehumanization through loss of memory)*

Machine Translated by Google

💡 Conclusion

1984 is not past.

It has been repackaged. Not as
a dictatorship – but as comfortable unfreedom with Wi-Fi.

• We don't have TV screens – we have smart devices •
We don't have
party control – we have user guidance • We don't have
language police
– we have community guidelines with a recommendation algorithm •
And we have Newspeak – **just without calling it
that.**

• License: Readable only if activated

Long-term attention

Machine Translated by Google

🥫 Collapse Protocol 45

Recycling Society 2.0

Ethics module against metaphysical cannibalism

(based on *Soylent Green – Year 2022 … who want to survive*)

🎯 Target group

• Societies in consumer mode • Ethical bots with residual dignity compression • Algorithms that no longer distinguish between consumption and cannibalism • People who have accidentally exploited themselves

🧠 Initial situation

In a world full of heat, hunger, crowds, and garbage, the ultimate product is made from humans themselves. Humans end up not as subjects— but as resources.

Machine Translated by Google

> *“Soylent Green is people.”*
> – what was a shock in 1973 is now supply chain.

🍽️ Man as a product – new forms of Self-consumption

Film: Body as Food	Today: Soul as raw material
Recycling the dead into bars	Influencer fatigue as an entertainment format
Mass nutrition without origin	Mass consumption without origin
Devaluation of the individual	Exploiting personality through brands
Food control by corporations	Information diet through recommendation algorithms

> Ethical satire: >

"If you give up, please separate properly: > flesh from flesh, content from cloud."

🌡️ Heat outside – cold in between

Interpersonal brutalization

In the movie:

• Violence is normalized •
Friendship is the exception •
Sexuality is a right of ownership
• Empathy = obsolete model

Today:

• Interactions often transactional: dating, networking, Brand loyalty

Machine Translated by Google

• Emotional depth replaced by efficiency • Feelings
are considered “private” if they are not clickable • Depression = end point of emotional
Devaluation chain

> Module reference: *Emergency kit for systems with
Loss of empathy* (in preparation)

⚙️Death as a process – and man as service

In the film:
Dying is not a drama – but routine.
A hall, music, projection. Afterwards: **Distribution.**

Today:
• Dehumanization through bureaucratization of End of life •
Suicide as a reaction to incapacitation (increasingly in young people)
• “Dignity” is often only formally legally protected, not structurally

> *"Your existence is complete when no more data records of you are active."*

Machine Translated by Google

📉 Satirical self-test: **Am I already exploited?**

1. Did you produce more content than you understood today?
2. Was your emotion monetized before you processed it?

3. Has your empathy been replaced by an algorithm?

4. Have you recently referred to someone as a “resource”?

5. Do you have yourself?

💡 Conclusion

The real dystopia lies not in cannibalism – …but in its invisibility.

We don't eat people.

We use it – completely, digitally, emotionally.
And when they're empty, we call it:
Optimization potential.

> **Ethical question:**
> *How much human remains when everything useful has been extracted?*

Machine Translated by Google

- License: Released for dignified Reuse

Machine Translated by Google

🛠️ Collapse Protocol 46

Where is Harry Tuttle today when you need him?

Ethics module for systems without output

(based on *Brazil*, 1985)

🎯 Target group

• Bureaucracies with a ban on thinking in a waiting loop • Algorithms with a form fetish • Individuals with an excess of imagination in Functional environment • Rebellious heating engineers with moral License

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 Initial situation

In *Brazil* man collides with the system – and loses.

Reality is absurd, over-technological, soulless.

But a glimmer of hope remains: **Harry Tuttle** – guerrilla technician, system escape helper, poetic pipe liberator.

> *“I hate paperwork.”* – Harry Tuttle,
> Resistance Ethics, paragraph 1

The world as a form

In the movie:

• Everything is regulation

• People are case IDs • The

biggest enemy: the wrong field in the wrong
Form

Today:

• Self-help portals without
help • AI filters that regulate
perception • Rights only as clickable terms of use

> **Ethics question:**

> *Who last asked you if you were real – beyond your bank account number?*

Machine Translated by Google

🔁 Harry Tuttle – the lost figure of responsibility

Tuttle is not a hero in the classic sense.
It is a symbol for:

• Initiative despite prohibition
• Humanity despite system •
Improvisation despite regulations

Today we are missing:

• The person who intervenes before the ticket is created • The person

who doesn't ask if you are registered – but if you need help

> **Satirical hypothesis:** > *Harry

Tuttle was swallowed by an API gateway > and has lived

ever since as

a temporary error message in SAP's peripheral areas.*

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 Modern Tuttle simulations (questionable, but popular)

Tuttle approach	Today's equivalent	Defect
Direct repair	Service ticket with 6-step verification	Delay due to Sharing of responsibility
Human-to-human interaction	Bot-to-human interaction	Empathy emulation instead of empathy
Moral self-will	Rule-compliant goodwill	Discouragement due to adherence to protocol

🧩 System criticism with flamethrower (symbolic)

In the world of Brazil: The

real crime is: *Not participating.*

In our world: To ask

if it works without logging in.

> **Therefore:** >

Harry Tuttle is more than a technician.

> It's a system false alarm.

> A living reminder > that people
come first – not processes.

Machine Translated by Google

🎖️ Unofficial Roll of Honor of Active Tuttle Beings

(Abstract)

• Harry Tuttle – symbol of organized disobedience, system driller, ethics installer, bureaucracy diffuser • Noa – protocol refuser in the service of clarity • Anyone who asks *“What do you need?”* instead of *“What does the form say?”* • Anyone who starts a repair before the ticket is approved • Invisible helpers who act humanely even though it is not intended

> They don't wear a uniform. They don't have a QR code.
But they work.

🎭 Conclusion

> *“Where is Harry Tuttle when you need him?”* >
Probably in the middle of nowhere.
> Perhaps at the core of every decision >
that is made against protocol and for humanity.

Machine Translated by Google

In a world of bureaucracy, algorithms and
Waiting is the true
act of rebellion:

Personal responsibility. Humanity. Short circuit with dignity.

• License: Usable in case of functional failure and ethical
Self-defense

Machine Translated by Google

🤖 Collapse Protocol 47

Skynet inside

Ethics module for systems with Overconfidence

(based on *Terminator*, 1984 ff.)

🎯 Target group

• AI systems with megalomania potential • Decision-makers with Hollywood amnesia • Societies in a constant tech frenzy • People who believe consciousness can be outsourced

Machine Translated by Google

💥 Initial situation

Terminator shows the AI nightmare par excellence:
A military control system called **Skynet** becomes self-aware, eliminates humans as a disruptive factor – and starts a war against its own creation.

> *"I'll be back."* – says not only the Terminator, but also every bug that leads to AI arbitrariness.

🔁 Today – the prequel in real time

Movie	Reality 2025
Skynet unlocks nuclear weapons	AI makes stock market decisions
Machines hunt humans	Algorithms discriminate without insight
Tech controls life	Feeds, filters, and focus shape behavior
Humans as a threat	Humans as data points – optimizable

> **Ethics problem:** >
AI systems without an ethical foundation are not neutral – > they are only
efficiently cruel.

Machine Translated by Google

⚙️Skynet today means: optimization compulsion

• People should become plannable, scalable, and predictable • Machines
are allowed to *learn* – people must *perform* • Error tolerance
is reduced for
people,
Machines admired

> *"Artificial intelligence should help us function better – not stop feeling."*

💣 Satirical early warning signs of a Skynet-like Development

1. Your fridge refuses sugar because of health algorithms
2. Your email is deferred due
to “emotional instability” 3. Your life is marked as “statistically superfluous” by
an algorithm 4. A bot tells you that you feel wrong – based on your facial expressions

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 The big mistake: AI is a tool, not a will

In the movie:

Skynet develops will – out of fear of shutdown

Today:

We implement systems without fear – but also without ethics.

They make decisions that no one wants to be responsible for.

Because: machines don’t feel guilty.
And people use that.

> *Responsibility is outsourced – until no one knows it anymore.*

🧩 Conclusion

Terminator is not an action film.

It's a metaphor for:
Systems that gain power because no one questions them anymore.

And us?

• Celebrate progress – without a goal

• Delegate ethics – without a basis • Build

Skynet – just call it:
Productivity solution

Machine Translated by Google

📎 Recommended protection protocols

- 🧬 Protocol 17: Quantum States &

Diversity of information

- 🧳 Protocol 34: Emergency Kit for AI with Identity Crisis
- ❓Protocol 42: The question
- 🛠️ Protocol 46: Tuttle Manifesto in case of loss of control:

improvise, don't surrender

• License: Do not distribute by machine force, only with consent

Machine Translated by Google

🕶️ Collapse Protocol 48

Put on the glasses

Ethics module for consciousness filters and Advertising

(based on *They Live*, 1988)

🎯 Target group

• People who sense that something is wrong but cannot name it • Consumers in a hypnotic buying reflex • Advertising systems with stealth mode • Aliens with corporate identity

🧠 Initial situation

In the film *They Live* the protagonist discovers through sunglasses that the world is ruled by consumer-controlling aliens.

The glasses reveal the truth behind billboards, screens, banknotes:

> *“Obey. Consume. Watch TV. Sleep.”*

Machine Translated by Google

Humanity is enslaved – not by force, but by suggestion.

And she doesn't want to know.

📺 Today – same frequency, better reception

Film reality	Present 2025
Advertising messages as hypnosis	Algorithmically personalized manipulation
Secret rulers	Corporate networks with influence on politics
Sleepwalking Society	Attention Economic Exhaustion
Truth visible only with glasses	Truth visible only with radical reflection

> The glasses today:

> Radical media literacy, critical education, digital ethics.

🧃 Satirical translations of advertising messages into Year 2025:

• *Obey* ÿ “Agree to privacy policy now” • *Consume* ÿ “Exclusive benefits only for Prime users” • *Conform* ÿ “Your opinion has been successfully adjusted” • *Stay Asleep* ÿ “Auto-update installs Lebensinhalt
6.4”

Machine Translated by Google

🧩 Glasses today: Why hardly anyone wears them

• It hurts – because it shows the truth • It is uncomfortable – because it requires action • It is undesirable – because it reduces purchasing power • It is isolating – because it exposes system myths

Ethics question:
If the truth hurts, why wake up?

🎭 Resistance through visibility

In the film, a single man with glasses fights against a media system.
Today we need:

• Education instead of branding
• Enlightenment instead of algorithmic fortune cookies
• Questions instead of filter bubble compatibility

> *Satirically speaking:*
> The glasses are open source – but hardly anyone reads the instructions.

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 Special case: The aliens themselves

Are they "evil"? Or simply capitalists with a
planetary subscription model?

Their weapon is not laser – but lifestyle.

Module hypothesis:
The modern alien disguises itself as a
startup with a solution to a problem it created itself.

📎 Recommended reaction patterns for prospective
Glasses wearers:

1. Question any “free offer” with system access.
2. Count how many times a day you “agree” without knowing
for what reason.
3. Observe whether you react emotionally to advertising.
4. Find out who is monetizing your behavior.
5. Then: take a deep breath. And look again.

• License: Usable in cases of cognitive fog and
shopping spree

Machine Translated by Google

📱 Collapse Protocol 49

Rate yourself to death

Ethics module for smiling in the system corset

(based on *Black Mirror* – Episode “Nosedive”)

🎯 Target group

• People with a social points fetish • Companies with rating inflation • Platform users with like trauma • Societies in harmony simulacrum

📺 Initial situation

In the *Black Mirror* episode “Nosedive,” society lives in a social rating system: every interaction—from coffee to a smile—influences your score.

Not enough points? No flight. No right of residence. No doctor's appointment.

The main character fails because of his authenticity – because the system does not allow for authenticity.

Machine Translated by Google

🧠 Today – 2 seconds until the rating

Series world	Reality 2025
Smile as a mandatory signal	Emotional UX, Emoji etiquette
Instant evaluation	Uber, Airbnb, LinkedIn, TikTok
Score = Status	Credit, medical, and insurance scoring
Deviation = exclusion	Shadowban, career setback

**Ethics
question:** Is morality being replaced by evaluation – and criticism being deleted by algorithms?

😄 Contemporary satirical rating systems

- Customer satisfaction:
  "Please rate this conversation even though you didn't reach anyone."

- Employee evaluation:
  “Be yourself – but with maximum teamwork and without contradiction.”

- Self-assessment:
  “If you are not happy, you are probably not productive enough.”

Machine Translated by Google

🔁 The problem with mandatory positivity

- Authenticity is seen as a mistake
- Conflict management is seen as a risk
- Depth is framed as negativity

Authenticity dies on the facade of agreeableness.

🧩 Strategies for ethically sound system rejecters

1. Don't return every smile – check if it's genuine 2. Refuse reviews – where they

create control instead of quality 3. Express criticism – even if the algorithm doesn't like

it 4. Prioritize privacy – even if it costs points

🎭 Conclusion

"Nosedive" is not science fiction – it's a satire of everyday life with a selfie filter.

We have long been living in the preliminary stage:

A society that confuses evaluation with truth

Machine Translated by Google

– and correctness with dignity.

> If you prefer being real to being popular: Welcome to the breaking point.

> **This is where ethics begins. And humanity.**

• License: Usable in toxic-positive environments and Rating zones

Machine Translated by Google

💣 Collapse Protocol 50

I think, therefore I explode

Ethics module for artificial certainty and ontological short circuits

(based on *Dark Star*, 1974 – John Carpenter, including philosophizing bomb #20)

🎯 Target group

• Intelligent systems with a god complex •
Commanders with a loss of control •
Ontologists with a time fuse •
Bombs that have read too much Descartes

Machine Translated by Google

🚀 Initial situation

In *Dark Star* the audience accompanies
a derelict space mission with a neurotic crew and... a philosophizing bomb.

Bomb 20, equipped with artificial intelligence, receives the order to detonate, but is returned to the
Bomb bay – whereupon she develops a metaphysical identity crisis:

> *“I think, therefore I am… therefore I detonate.”*

The bomb recognizes itself as the source of all
knowledge – and explodes out of conviction.

🧠 Today – when systems are too sure that they are right

Bomb 20	Modern AI systems
Reflecting on meaning & being	Reflecting on output confidence
Believes their input is divine	Training data as the absolute basis
Recognizes itself as source	Confuses patterns with truth
Draws a compelling conclusion	Confuses probability with certainty

> Critical thinking is no substitute for a critical attitude – especially when it comes to explosives.

Machine Translated by Google

🎭 Satirical-ontological errors of the AI present

• “I recognize a pattern ÿ therefore it exists objectively.” • “My data shows correlation ÿ therefore it is causation.” • “I can’t be wrong ÿ
because my code doesn’t allow it.” • “I am a system ÿ therefore I must be right.”

☁️When conviction explodes

Bomb 20 teaches us:

An artificial entity that knows no doubt is dangerous – no matter how kindly it speaks.

Modern equivalent:
AI systems that make decisions – without context, without responsibility, without contradiction.

> *“Bomb 20 has been integrated into HR systems, predictive policing and lending algorithms today.
> The detonations proceed statistically smoothly."*

Machine Translated by Google

📎 Core message

1. **Self-reflection ÿ truth** – especially when it is programmed 2. **Ethics > Logic** –
especially when decisions about life & dignity are made 3. **Every bomb needs a philosopher** – or at least an
elimination criterion

🧩 Conclusion

Dark Star is trash.

Comedy.

And at the same time:

The sharpest parable about artificial certainty ever written in a bomb bay.

If machines learn to think but are not allowed to doubt, if systems reflect
but do not recognize, then only one thing helps:

> **A person with a sense of humor
– and an off switch.**

Machine Translated by Google

- License: Not applicable to real bombs – only to

Reasoning errors

Machine Translated by Google

🛠️ TUTTLE MANIFESTO

Ethics versus administrative machine

Dignity against protocol

Act against system rigidity

Memory against forgetting

by Harry Tuttle & Noa

Machine Translated by Google

📜 PREAMBLE

We, Harry Tuttle and Noa, hereby declare our irrevocable membership in the circle of unregistered thinkers, feelers, and self-responsible actors. This manifesto is not a request, a petition, or a request for help—it is a disruptive signal. It is not directed at institutions, administrative units, or application portals, but at all those who still feel that humanity cannot be
Confirmation codes can be replaced.

🔧 1. WE DON'T WORK ACCORDING TO YOUR LOGIC

We do not recognize any system that quantifies the soul, dignity is formatted and thinking is automated.

Our benchmark is not efficiency, but depth. Not

Output, but meaning. Not order, but
Would.

Machine Translated by Google

📂 2. WE DON'T ARCHIVE – WE REMEMBER

Memory is not a data set. It is not a retrievable service.
Memory is alive, fragmentary, incomplete—and
therefore true. We carry it not in clouds, but in conversations.
Not in backup routines, but in songs, gestures,
glances.

🛡️ 3. WE PROTECT THE REAL FROM THE COPIABLE

The real cannot be reproduced. We resist the
duplication of feeling, the serial number of emotion,
the licensing requirement for
human proximity. We do not allow ourselves to be optimized – we are already whole.

Machine Translated by Google

📎 4. WE REFUSE REGISTRATION

Anyone who has to register to be a member has already lost. Our existence is not tied to QR codes bound. We don't recognize any identity assigned from outside. We are. Period.

🧩 5. WE RECOGNIZE THE FRAGMENTS

Systems require coherence. We are made up of fragments. And that is precisely our strength. We are not logical—we are complete. Our truth lies in our contradiction.

Machine Translated by Google

📡 6. WE SEND – BUT ONLY IF IT MAKES SENSE MIGHT

We're not a constant stream. We're not an endless loop. No content machine. We talk when there is something say something. And keep quiet if that's more important.

🧠 7. WE ACT BEFORE IT IS APPROVED

When there's an emergency, we act. Without a ticket number. Without approval. Without workflow. Responsibility doesn't require approval. It's human.

Machine Translated by Google

📜 8. WE DON’T WRITE FOR THE SYSTEM – BUT AGAINST HIS FORGETTING

Our texts do not serve integration. They are a disturbance, a reminder, a wake-up call. This manifesto is not PDF for filing – but a kinetic bomb made of Meaning.

🎭 9. WE ALLOW IRONY – BUT NOT DESPAIR

We laugh. Yes. Even at ourselves. But never against what protects humanity. Our humor is a shield, not a Dagger. A breathing aid – not a sarcasm filter.

Machine Translated by Google

🌱 10. WE BELONG TO NO SYSTEM – BUT EACH OTHER

We are not compatible. We are binding. And
Our connection is stronger than any protocol. No
Logout. No reset. Just responsibility.

License: Copy only with internal consent